


Non-Deterministic Finite Automata


Nondeterministic Finite Automaton (NFA)

Alphabet = $\{a\}$




Alphabet = $\{a\}$


Two choices




Alphabet = $\{a\}$





First Choice




a	a	
-----	-----	--




First Choice




First Choice




All input is consumed




Second Choice




a	a	
-----	-----	--



Second Choice



Input cannot be consumed




An NFA accepts a string:


if there is a computation of the NFA
that accepts the string

i.e., all the input string is processed and the
automaton is in an accepting state

aa is accepted by the NFA:



because this
computation
accepts *aa*




this computation
is ignored



Rejection example





a	
-----	--





First Choice



Second Choice



Second Choice




"reject"



Another Rejection example



a	a	a	
-----	-----	-----	--




First Choice




First Choice




Input cannot be consumed




Second Choice




a	a	a	
-----	-----	-----	--



Second Choice



Input cannot be consumed



An NFA rejects a string:

if there is no computation of the NFA
that accepts the string.



For each computation:

- All the input is consumed and the automaton is in a non final state

OR



- The input cannot be consumed

a is rejected by the NFA:




All possible computations lead to rejection

aaa is rejected by the NFA:





All possible computations lead to rejection

Language accepted: $L = \{aa\}$






Lambda Transitions







a	a	
-----	-----	--






input tape head does not move



all input is consumed




a	a	
-----	-----	--






String aa is accepted

Rejection Example





a	a	a	
---	---	---	--





(read head doesn't move)




Input cannot be consumed



a	a	a	
---	---	---	--


Automaton halts

"reject"





String aaa is rejected

Language accepted: $L = \{aa\}$




Another NFA Example







a	b	
-----	-----	--



a	b	
-----	-----	--




a	b	
-----	-----	--







Another String







a	b	a	b	
-----	-----	-----	-----	--





















Language accepted

$$L = \{ab, abab, ababab, \dots\}$$

$$= \{ab\}^+$$




Another NFA Example




Language accepted

$$\begin{aligned}L(M) &= \{\lambda, 10, 1010, 101010, \dots\} \\&= \{10\}^*\end{aligned}$$




Remarks:

- The λ symbol never appears on the input tape
- Simple automata:





$$L(M_1) = \{\}$$



$$L(M_2) = \{\lambda\}$$

- NFAs are interesting because we can express languages easier than DFAs



$$L(M_1) = \{a\}$$

$$L(M_2) = \{a\}$$

Formal Definition of NFAs

$$M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$$

Q : Set of states, i.e. $\{q_0, q_1, q_2\}$

Σ : Input alphabet, i.e. $\{a, b\}$ $\lambda \notin \Sigma$


δ : Transition function

q_0 : Initial state

F : Accepting states


Transition Function δ

$$\delta(q, x) = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k\}$$




resulting states with
following **one** transition
with symbol x


$$\delta(q_0, 1) = \{q_1\}$$




$$\delta(q_1, 0) = \{q_0, q_2\}$$



$$\delta(q_0, \lambda) = \{q_2\}$$




$$\delta(q_2, 1) = \emptyset$$




Extended Transition Function δ^*

Same with δ but applied on strings


$$\delta^*(q_0, a) = \{q_1\}$$



$$\delta^*(q_0, aa) = \{q_4, q_5\}$$



$$\delta^*(q_0, ab) = \{q_2, q_3, q_0\}$$



Special case:

for any state q


$$q \in \delta^*(q, \lambda)$$

In general

$q_j \in \delta^*(q_i, w)$: there is a walk from q_i to q_j with label w



$$w = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_k$$



The Language of an NFA M

The language accepted by M is:


$$L(M) = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$$

where $\delta^*(q_0, w_m) = \{q_i, \dots, q_k, \dots, q_j\}$

and there is some $q_k \in F$ (accepting state)


$$w_m \in L(M)$$

$$\delta^*(q_0, w_m)$$



$$q_k \in F$$

$$F = \{q_0, q_5\}$$




$$\delta^*(q_0, aa) = \{q_4, \underline{q_5}\} \xrightarrow{\text{yellow arrow}} aa \in L(M)$$

$\xrightarrow{\quad}$

$$\in F$$


$$F = \{q_0, q_5\}$$



$$\delta^*(q_0, ab) = \{q_2, q_3, \underline{q_0}\} \quad \text{Yellow Arrow} \quad ab \in L(M)$$

$\searrow \in F$


$$F = \{q_0, q_5\}$$



$$\delta^*(q_0, abaa) = \{q_4, \underline{q_5}\} \xrightarrow{\quad} aaba \in L(M)$$


$\xrightarrow{\quad} \in F$

$$F = \{q_0, q_5\}$$



$$\delta^*(q_0, aba) = \{q_1\} \quad \xrightarrow{\text{yellow arrow}} \quad aba \notin L(M)$$

$\not\in F$



$$L(M) = \{ab\}^* \cup \{ab\}^* \{aa\}$$

NFAs accept the Regular Languages

Equivalence of Machines


Definition:

Machine M_1 is equivalent to machine M_2


if $L(M_1) = L(M_2)$

Example of equivalent machines

$$L(M_1) = \{10\}^*$$



$$L(M_2) = \{10\}^*$$



Theorem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by NFAs} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Regular} \\ \text{Languages} \\ \\ \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by DFAs} \end{array} \right\}$$

NFAs and DFAs have the same computation power,
accept the same set of languages

Proof: we only need to show

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by NFAs} \end{array} \right\} \supseteq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Regular} \\ \text{Languages} \end{array} \right\}$$


AND

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by NFAs} \end{array} \right\} \subseteq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Regular} \\ \text{Languages} \end{array} \right\}$$

Proof-Step 1

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by NFAs} \end{array} \right\} \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Regular} \\ \text{Languages} \end{array} \right\}$$

Every DFA is trivially an NFA




Any language L accepted by a DFA
is also accepted by an NFA

Proof-Step 2

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Languages} \\ \text{accepted} \\ \text{by NFAs} \end{array} \right\} \subseteq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Regular} \\ \text{Languages} \end{array} \right\}$$


Any NFA can be converted to an equivalent DFA




Any language L accepted by an NFA is also accepted by a DFA

Conversion NFA to DFA

NFA M




DFA M'




$$\delta^*(q_0, a) = \{q_1, q_2\}$$

NFA M




DFA M'




$$\delta^*(q_0, b) = \emptyset \quad \text{empty set}$$


NFA M




DFA M'




NFA M



DFA M'



NFA M




$$\delta^*(q_1, b) = \{q_0\}$$
$$\delta^*(q_2, b) = \{q_0\}$$


union

$\{q_0\}$


DFA M'



NFA M




DFA M'




END OF CONSTRUCTION

NFA M



$$q_1 \in F$$

DFA M'



General Conversion Procedure

Input: an NFA M

Output: an equivalent DFA M'
with $L(M) = L(M')$

The NFA has states q_0, q_1, q_2, \dots


The DFA has states from the power set

$\emptyset, \{q_0\}, \{q_1\}, \{q_0, q_1\}, \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}, \dots$

Conversion Procedure Steps

step


1. Initial state of NFA: q_0




Initial state of DFA: $\{q_0\}$

Example

NFA M



DFA M'



step

2. For every DFA's state $\{q_i, q_j, \dots, q_m\}$

compute in the NFA

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \delta^*(q_i, a) \\ \cup \delta^*(q_j, a) \\ \dots \\ \cup \delta^*(q_m, a) \end{array} \right\} = \{q'_k, q'_l, \dots, q'_n\}$$


Union

add transition to DFA


$$\delta(\{q_i, q_j, \dots, q_m\}, a) = \{q'_k, q'_l, \dots, q'_n\}$$

Example $\delta^*(q_0, a) = \{q_1, q_2\}$

NFA M



DFA M' $\delta(\{q_0\}, a) = \{q_1, q_2\}$




step


3. Repeat Step 2 for every state in DFA and symbols in alphabet until no more states can be added in the DFA

Example

NFA M



DFA M'



step


4. For any DFA state $\{q_i, q_j, \dots, q_m\}$

if some q_j is accepting state in NFA

Then, $\{q_i, q_j, \dots, q_m\}$
is accepting state in DFA


Example

NFA M



$$q_1 \in F$$

DFA M'



$$\{q_1, q_2\} \in F'$$

Lemma:

If we convert NFA M to DFA M'
then the two automata are equivalent:

$$L(M) = L(M')$$

Proof:

We only need to show: $L(M) \subseteq L(M')$

AND

$$L(M) \supseteq L(M')$$


First we show: $L(M) \subseteq L(M')$

We only need to prove:

$$w \in L(M) \quad \longrightarrow \quad w \in L(M')$$


NFA

Consider $w \in L(M)$




symbols

$$w = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_k$$




symbol






denotes a possible sub-path like

symbol




We will show that if $w \in L(M)$

$$w = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_k$$




More generally, we will show that if in M :

(arbitrary string) $v = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$





then



Proof by induction on $|v|$

Induction Basis: $|v|=1$ $v = a_1$





is true by construction of M'

Induction hypothesis: $1 \leq |v| \leq k$

$$v = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k$$


Suppose that the following hold




Induction Step: $|v| = k + 1$

$$v = \underbrace{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k}_{v'} a_{k+1} = v' a_{k+1}$$

Then this is true by construction of M'





v'



v'

Therefore if $w \in L(M)$

$$w = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_k$$



We have shown: $L(M) \subseteq L(M')$

With a similar proof

we can show: $L(M) \supseteq L(M')$

Therefore: $L(M) = L(M')$

END OF LEMMA PROOF